Code of Virginia	JLARC/APA/Governor's Strategic Plan	RTIP Report
Requirements		_
Submit by September	JLARC report recommendation 8 called for the ITIB to submit a list	Code of Virginia Requirements & JLARC
1 of each year a list of	of recommended projects for funding annually to the General	Recommendations completed:
recommended	Assembly for its review and approval.	
technology investment		By September 1 of each year, a list of recommended
projects and priorities	The JLARC report envisioned a capital funding structure whereby	technology investment projects and priorities for funding
for funding such	bonds or other debt instruments would be issued to pay for projects	such projects has been submitted to the Governor and the
projects to the	that the ITIB prioritized and recommended for funding. In essence,	General Assembly.
Governor and the	the ITIB would submit a prioritized list of major projects and the	
General Assembly.	projects would not be funded through operating budgets. Instead, the	Factors in prioritizing are statewide significance,
	capital process used to finance the construction of buildings through	conformance with the State's strategic plan, extent of the
	the issuance of bonds would be used to fund major information system	business need, benefits provided, associated risks, and
	projects. JLARC believed that the ITIB would be a key part of the	amount of funding needed.
	funding process. Agencies would need to submit their proposed projects by the spring or summer of each year so they could be	The Poord realize the projects and the prioritized list
	prioritized just prior to the General Assembly session. Factors in	The Board ranks the projects and the prioritized list.
	prioritizing would be statewide significance, conformance with the	JLARC Recommendations not completed:
	State's strategic plan, extent of the business need, benefits provided,	SLAKE Recommendations not completed.
	associated risks, and amount of funding needed. The Board would	Establish a capital funding structure whereby bonds or
	rank the projects and the prioritized list would be submitted to the	other debt instruments are issued to pay for projects that
	General Assembly as part of the Governor's budget submission. The	the ITIB has prioritized and recommended for funding.
	General Assembly could then evaluate and approve the projects, up to	the 1112 has prioritized and recommended for funding.
	a cap amount.	Projects are not to be funded through operating budgets.
	1	Instead, the capital process used to finance the
	Another funding model suggested by JLARC was for the General	construction of buildings through the issuance of bonds
	Assembly to appropriate money directly to a central technology fund	is to be used to fund major information system projects.
	that could be used to pay for projects in lieu of issuing debt. The	
	Governor's 2002-2006 Strategic Plan, page 33 and 36, also suggests a	Establish the ITIB as a key part of the funding process.
	prioritization and funding process similar to that of JLARC.	
		Create a central technology fund to help pay for
		statewide enterprise systems or other multi-agency
		projects.